The idea of inquiry, or learning by experience and finding things out for

yourself, is better in my opinion. When comparing it to having someone help you

out, or guide you to solve problems or figure things out, it’s like they are “holding

your hand”. By doing this, it seems that they are limiting you to what or how you can

remember or learn certain things, which is bad. It’s simply because it is so much

easier to recall something using a method that stuck with YOU, not THEM. I’m not

saying it’s a bad thing to have someone guide you along the way, but learning for

yourself is best down the road. The movie, “Good Will Hunting”, came to mind when

I had thought about which method of inquiry is better. Will Hunting had seemed like

he knew everything, but his knowledge was only based off reading and learning

from books, therefore it was limited because he had memorized the findings and

calculations made by different people. He didn’t take into account, how they found

their results, he just stored them into his memory. The thing that Will Hunting had

lacked was experience, and that is key when bringing up the topic of inquiry.

Experience can make inquiry and recalling things so much easier than if they were

to be memorized from a website or catalog. If you can tie a particular moment or

memory when learning something, it can make learning about that topic so much

more retainable because there is a sense of familiarity. It also forces you to learn for

yourself, no matter how good or bad the outcome is. We learn more from our

mistakes than anything else, because we not to do the same actions or make the

same choices that led to the mistake in the first place. While, having someone help

you can be easier, we can learn infinitely more by figuring out things for ourselves.

That’s the end of my rant regarding inquiry, learning, and other things such like

those. (I didn’t want to use the little paper I have, so that’s why there’s no space)